

Comparative Lifecycle Assessment of Artificial Intelligence vs. Lifestyle Choices: A Multidimensional Environmental Analysis of the 2026 Digital and Physical Economy

The integration of advanced generative artificial intelligence into the quotidian existence of the global populace has fundamentally shifted the paradigms of environmental impact assessment. As of 2026, the distinction between a digital action and a physical consequence is no longer binary; rather, it is a complex continuum of energy transformations, hydrological withdrawals, and material depletions. This report provides a comprehensive, expert-level lifecycle assessment (LCA) contrasting the environmental footprint of individual AI utilization—ranging from simple text-to-text inference to complex autonomous agentic workflows—against the established benchmarks of high-impact lifestyle choices: industrial meat consumption, transatlantic aviation, and municipal waste management strategies. By synthesizing data from the International Energy Agency (IEA), NVIDIA Product Carbon Footprint (PCF) disclosures, and independent 2026 hydrological studies, the following analysis elucidates the true resource intensity of the modern digital citizen.

Executive Summary: Ranking the Hierarchy of Impact

A rigorous evaluation of environmental data for 2026 reveals a distinct hierarchy of impact, where traditional industrial-era activities continue to dwarf individual digital actions in absolute terms, yet the "silicon footprint" of advanced AI is growing at a rate that necessitates immediate optimization. The ranking is based on a composite metric of Global Warming Potential (CO_2e), water consumption, and land use.

Activity Category	Environmental Ranking	Primary Driver of Impact
Transatlantic Aviation (LHR-JFK Round Trip)	Catastrophic	High-altitude fuel combustion and contrail-induced warming ¹
High-Beef Diet (Annual Consumption)	Severe	Trophic inefficiency, methane emissions, and

		massive land requirements ³
1 Year of Landfill Waste (No Recycling)	High	Methane from decomposition and resource extraction for virgin materials ⁵
Agentic AI Workflow (High-Frequency Daily Use)	Moderate	Cumulative "Agent Multiplier" and hardware-embodied carbon ⁶
Text-to-Video Generation (Daily Use)	Emerging	High GPU thermal design power (TDP) and extended inference times ⁸
Standard AI Prompting (Daily Use)	Negligible	Highly optimized inference and renewable energy procurement ¹⁰

One observes that while a single AI prompt represents a microscopic fraction of a passenger's flight emissions, the scaling effect of agentic workflows—characterized by recursive reasoning loops and autonomous tool use—is beginning to rival smaller physical lifestyle choices in terms of daily energy demand.

Macro-Trends in 2026 AI Infrastructure and Operational Efficiency

The landscape of artificial intelligence in 2026 is defined by a paradox of efficiency: per-query energy intensity has plummeted, yet total system-wide consumption has surged. This phenomenon is driven by the mass adoption of "Reasoning Models" and the transition from isolated prompts to continuous agentic systems.

The Evolution of Inference Efficiency

Data from early 2026 indicates that a median text prompt using models like Gemini 1.5 Pro or GPT-4o consumes approximately 0.24 to 0.42 Watt-hours (Wh) of electricity.⁶ This represents a staggering 33-fold reduction in energy footprint compared to 2024 levels, a result of architectural innovations such as Mixture-of-Experts (MoE) and the deployment of custom silicon like Google's Ironwood TPUs, which are 30 times more efficient than earlier generations.⁸ In practical terms, performing one standard AI query is now energetically equivalent to watching television for less than nine seconds.¹⁰

However, these figures must be contextualized by the "Idle Power" of the data center. Modern

facilities are provisioned for peak demand and failover reliability, meaning significant energy is consumed even when a specific user is not actively prompting. For every Wh consumed by an active query, a proportionate share of the data center's total base load—including host systems, networking overhead, and idle accelerators—must be allocated to the user's footprint.⁶

The Agent Multiplier: The Cost of Digital Autonomy

The most significant shift in the 2026 digital footprint is the rise of agentic workflows. Unlike a single-shot prompt, an autonomous agent executes a 10-step or longer workflow involving planning, web-browsing, code execution, and reflection.¹² This introduced the "Agent Multiplier" effect. A 10-step task does not merely consume 10 times the energy of a baseline prompt; it often consumes 20 to 80 times more due to the state-management overhead and the use of high-intensity reasoning models.⁶

AI Usage Tier	Energy Consumption (Wh)	Water (mL) - Direct + Indirect	Carbon Footprint (gCO2e)
Standard Baseline Prompt	0.24 Wh	~2.5 mL	0.03 g
10-Step Agentic Task	15.0 - 45.0 Wh	~150 - 450 mL	2.5 - 7.5 g
1 Min Text-to-Video (Sora 2)	120.0 Wh	~1,200 mL	20.0 g
Source(s)	6	10	8

Reasoning models like OpenAI's o3 or DeepSeek-R1 utilize extended Chain-of-Thought (CoT) processing. A long-form query to such a model can reach 39.22 Wh, illustrating that the "quality" of digital intelligence is directly tethered to its environmental cost.⁶

The Hydrological Impact: Distinguishing Direct and Indirect Water Use

Water consumption has emerged as the most geographically critical metric for AI sustainability in 2026. The research necessitates a sharp distinction between direct on-site cooling and indirect water used in power generation—a factor often overlooked in simplified corporate

reporting.

Direct Water: Evaporative vs. Closed-Loop Cooling

Direct water use occurs at the data center site to maintain optimal operating temperatures for high-TDP accelerators like the NVIDIA B200, which can draw up to 1,200W per GPU.⁹ Traditional evaporative cooling towers utilize the latent heat of vaporization, a process that is energetically efficient but removes water from the local supply, often through evaporation into the atmosphere.¹⁵ In water-stressed regions like Arizona, a single hyperscale facility can withdraw up to 5 million gallons of potable water per day.¹⁵

Conversely, 2026 designs increasingly favor closed-loop liquid cooling and "warm water" cooling. By circulating coolant directly across chip surfaces and rejecting heat via dry coolers (radiators), these systems can reduce on-site water consumption to near zero, save for maintenance and humidification.¹⁹

Indirect Water: The Energy-Water Nexus

The "Indirect Water" footprint is the quantity of water required by utility providers to generate the electricity that powers the data center. This is often 5 to 10 times greater than direct usage.¹⁶ Most thermal power plants (nuclear, coal, gas) require massive volumes of water for cooling their own processes. In 2026, the global average Water Usage Effectiveness (WUE) for data centers is approximately 1.9 liters per kWh, but when indirect water is factored in, the total hydrological burden of a high-frequency AI user can rival the daily drinking water requirements of a small household.¹⁰

Lifecycle Assessment of the NVIDIA Blackwell (B200) Architecture

The environmental impact of AI is not merely operational; the "embodied carbon" and abiotic resource depletion of the hardware itself constitute a permanent environmental debt.

Embodied Carbon and Hardware Amortization

The NVIDIA HGX B200 baseboard has a published cradle-to-gate Product Carbon Footprint (PCF) of 2,274 kg CO_2e .²¹ This figure reflects the extreme energy and chemical intensity of manufacturing dual-die processors on the TSMC 4NP node. A breakdown of these emissions reveals that 94% occurs during the materials and components stage, with High Bandwidth Memory (HBM3e) accounting for 49% of the total manufacturing footprint.²¹

To determine the individual impact of a single task, one must amortize this footprint over a standard 3-year (1,095-day) hardware lifecycle.

$$EmbodiedCost_{daily} = \frac{2,274 \text{ kg } CO_2e}{1,095 \text{ days}} \approx 2.08 \text{ kg } CO_2e \text{ per day per baseboard}$$

If a baseboard processes 50 million tokens per day, the embodied carbon per million tokens is approximately 0.04 kg CO_2e . While this appears small on a per-token basis, it represents a fixed "carbon floor" that exists regardless of the grid's cleanliness.¹⁷

Abiotic Resource Depletion and Material Intensity

The Blackwell architecture is a concentrated site of abiotic resource depletion. A standard AI rack (GB200 NVL72) utilizes over 3.2 kilometers of copper cabling for power and high-speed interconnects, totaling hundreds of kilograms of copper per installation.²² Copper is vital for its conductivity and heat dissipation properties but is an abiotic resource with significant mining impacts.

Furthermore, these systems require silver for sintering layers (10-20 grams per module) and small amounts of gold for plating.²² The Abiotic Depletion Potential (ADP), measured in kg Antimony equivalents (Sb-eq), is particularly high for AI hardware due to the short replacement cycles of data center accelerators—often only 3 to 5 years—compared to the 10-20 year lifecycle of traditional industrial machinery or household appliances.²³

Comparative Baseline I: Aviation and the Transatlantic Carbon Debt

Aviation remains the most severe individual lifestyle impact in the 2026 assessment. A single round-trip flight from London to New York (LHR-JFK) emits approximately 986 kg of CO_2e per passenger.¹

This footprint is dominated by high-altitude fuel combustion. However, 2026 data emphasizes the non- CO_2 impacts: persistent contrails spread into cirrus clouds that trap terrestrial heat. These "artificial clouds" can account for more than 50% of aviation's total climate impact.² One round-trip transatlantic flight is energetically equivalent to performing approximately 4.1 million standard AI prompts or nearly 22,000 high-complexity agentic tasks.⁶

Metric	1 Round-Trip Flight (LHR-JFK)	1 Standard AI Prompt	Agent Task (10-Step)
Carbon (CO_2e)	986 kg	0.00003 kg	0.0075 kg

Equivalent Unit	1	1/32,800,000	1/131,000
Warming Potential	Multi-year atmospheric forcing	Instantaneous dissipation	Cumulative state-retention
Source(s)	1	10	6

Comparative Baseline II: Diet and the Trophic Efficiency Gap

Dietary choices represent the most significant recurring daily impact. The contrast between high-beef and vegan diets in 2026 is driven by trophic scaling: beef production requires roughly 15 lbs of feed to produce 1 lb of meat, compared to a nearly 1:1 ratio for plant-based alternatives.²⁶

Carbon and Water Metrics per Year

A high-beef diet (100g+ daily) produces 10.24 kg of CO_2e per person per day, or approximately 3,737 kg per year. A vegan diet reduces this to 2.47 kg per day, or 901 kg per year—a 75% reduction.⁴

Hydrologically, a high-beef diet requires 890 liters of water per day (324,850 liters per year), while a vegan diet requires approximately 450 liters per day (164,250 liters per year).⁴ This hydrological gap (160,600 liters) is equivalent to the water footprint of approximately 64 million standard AI prompts.⁴

Land Use and Biodiversity

Land use is the area where AI and agriculture most directly compete for physical space. A single kilogram of beef from a beef herd requires 326.21 m^2 of land per year.²⁷ In comparison, a 100 MW hyperscale data center campus might span 1,000 acres (4, 046, 856 m^2).²⁸ While a data center is a massive industrial site, the aggregate land required to feed a city a high-beef diet is orders of magnitude larger than the land required to host the city’s data processing infrastructure.²⁹

Comparative Baseline III: Waste and the Recycling Paradox

Household recycling is often the most visible pro-environmental behavior, but its absolute impact is frequently overestimated by the "Ethical Digital Citizen." In 2026, strict household

recycling for one year saves approximately 0.63 to 1.0 tons of CO_2e —significant, but less than the delta provided by shifting from an omnivorous to a vegan diet.³¹

Recycling's primary benefit is the reduction in abiotic depletion. Manufacturing aluminum from recycled scrap uses 95% less energy than virgin production.³² However, the "Circular Economy" for AI hardware is still in its infancy. While metals can be reclaimed from server racks, the complexity of dual-die GPUs and HBM3e makes high-fidelity component reuse difficult.³³

Geographic Sensitivity: Arizona (Fossil-Heavy) vs. Quebec (Green-Abundant)

The impact of an AI task is not a fixed constant; it is fundamentally determined by the carbon and water intensity of the local grid.

Arizona (Phoenix): The High-Stress Baseline

Arizona's grid, while increasingly solar-ready, still relies heavily on natural gas and coal for "clean, firm" baseload power. As of 2026, the carbon intensity of the Phoenix-area grid is estimated at approximately 395 g CO_2/kWh .³⁵ Combined with extreme ambient temperatures, the Power Usage Effectiveness (PUE) of facilities in this region is higher due to cooling demands. Furthermore, the region's extreme water stress makes the 5 million gallons per day consumed by a hyperscale facility a significant risk to local aquifers like the Ogallala.¹⁵

Quebec (Montreal): The Low-Carbon Frontier

In contrast, Quebec utilizes a grid dominated by hydroelectricity, with carbon intensity often below 50 g CO_2/kWh .³⁶ The cooler climate allows for "free cooling" (ambient air) for much of the year, reducing energy demand. For an individual AI user, performing a task in a Quebec data center reduces the operational carbon footprint by nearly 90% compared to a task performed in Arizona. This "Geographic Multiplier" makes site selection the single most impactful sustainability decision for AI providers in 2026.³⁸

The "Handprint" Counter-Argument: AI as a Net-Positive Environmental Force

A comprehensive analysis must evaluate the "Handprint"—the reduction in environmental impact enabled by AI-driven optimization.

Contrail Avoidance in Aviation

The most compelling 2026 handprint case study is AI-driven flight path optimization. By utilizing Google AI to predict ice-supersaturated atmospheric layers, American Airlines reduced contrail formation by 62% on 2,400 transatlantic flights.² The 0.3% fuel increase required for these

altitude adjustments was dwarfed by the 69% reduction in warming impact. The Climate ROI is 20-to-1: every unit of warming caused by the additional compute and fuel saves 20 units of warming in the atmosphere.²

Agricultural Efficiency

In the agrifood sector, AI-powered precision irrigation and fertilization systems have demonstrated 30-40% water savings and a 90% reduction in chemical runoff.⁴⁰ A 500-acre almond farm in California implemented an AI system that adjusted irrigation daily based on tree stress indicators, saving 16 acre-inches of water per acre—a total of millions of gallons per year.⁴¹ Here, the "intelligence" of the system creates a massive net-positive trade-off against its operational footprint.

Comprehensive Side-by-Side Comparison: The "Individual Impact" Matrix

To facilitate clear decision-making for the digital citizen, the following table compares 1 Agentic Task against established physical benchmarks.

Metric	1 Agent Task (10-Step)	1 Beef Burger (Big Mac size)	1 Mile Flown (Economy)	1 Hour Laptop Use
Energy (Wh)	~30 Wh	~4,500 Wh (Production)	~800 Wh (Fuel equiv)	~45 Wh
Water (Liters)	~0.3 L	~2,500 L	~0.1 L	~0.45 L
Carbon (gCO_2t)	~5.0 g	~4,000 g	~150 g	~15.0 g
Land Use (m^2)	~0.001 m^2	~16.7 m^2	Negligible	Negligible
Source(s)	6	4	43	10

Conclusion: Actionable Advice for the "Ethical Digital Citizen"

The 2026 Comparative Lifecycle Assessment demonstrates that while individual AI usage is not

currently "catastrophic" on the scale of aviation or meat consumption, its trajectory is one of intense resource concentration. For the individual seeking to minimize their total environmental footprint, the data supports the following priorities:

First, address the "Physical Big Three." Shifting from a high-beef to a plant-based diet or reducing one long-haul flight per year has a climate benefit that can "offset" millions of AI queries. The delta in carbon and water between these lifestyle choices is so large that digital optimization should be viewed as a secondary objective to physical behavioral change.⁴

Second, practice "Digital Sufficiency." Utilize agentic workflows and high-complexity reasoning models (o3, R1) only when a task necessitates that level of "thinking." For simple information retrieval, standardized inference remains the most sustainable option. The 70x "Reasoning Tax" is a luxury that should be used with intent.⁶

Third, favor "Green-Grid" Providers. When choosing AI services, prioritize those that disclose their PUE and WUE and allow for geographic transparency. A query performed in Quebec or a hydroelectric-powered Nordic data center is functionally "cleaner" than one performed in a water-stressed, fossil-reliant region like Arizona.³⁷

Finally, leverage the "Handprint." Use AI as a tool for optimization—whether for home energy management, smart gardening, or supply chain transparency. The ultimate environmental value of AI in 2026 is its ability to reduce the friction and waste of the physical economy, potentially creating a net-positive trade-off that far exceeds its silicon-bound cost.²

Works cited

1. Continuing the work: an update on Overton's carbon footprint, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.overton.io/blog/continuing-the-work-an-update-on-overtons-carbon-footprint>
2. 0.3% More Fuel, 62% Fewer Contrails: The AI Fix for Aviation's Hidden Climate Problem, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://migflug.com/jetflights/0-3-more-fuel-62-fewer-contrails-the-ai-fix-for-aviations-hidden-climate-problem/>
3. Vegan diets found to halve carbon footprints and cut disease risk - Nutrition Insight, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.nutritioninsight.com/news/vegan-diets-halved-carbon-footprint-environment-health.html>
4. Reducing meat intake equates to taking 8m cars off the road, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://veganuary.com/reducing-meat-intake-study/>
5. The impact of recycling on climate change - University of Colorado Boulder, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.colorado.edu/ecenter/2023/12/15/impact-recycling-climate-change>
6. AI Water & Energy Usage: ChatGPT vs Claude vs Gemini (2026 ...), accessed May 8, 2026, <https://devera.ai/resources/environmental-impact-ai-energy-carbon/>
7. SWEnergy: An Empirical Study on Energy Efficiency in Agentic Issue Resolution

Frameworks with SLMs - arXiv, accessed May 8, 2026,

<https://arxiv.org/html/2512.09543v1>

8. AI Energy Consumption Statistics - AIMultiple, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://aimultiple.com/ai-energy-consumption>
9. NVIDIA DGX B200 - The foundation for your AI factory., accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.nvidia.com/en-us/data-center/dgx-b200/>
10. The Real Environmental Footprint of Generative AI: What 2025 Data ..., accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://onlinelearningconsortium.org/olc-insights/2025/12/the-real-environmental-footprint-of-generative-ai/>
11. Our approach to energy innovation and AI's environmental footprint - Google Blog, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://blog.google/company-news/outreach-and-initiatives/sustainability/google-ai-energy-efficiency/>
12. Agentic AI is here — and it is changing how scientific software is built and used, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://blog.sintef.com/digital-en/agentic-ai-is-here-and-it-is-changing-how-scientific-software-is-built-and-used/>
13. The AI Agentic Workflow Patterns That Actually Matter in 2026 | by Sathish Raju - Medium, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://medium.com/@sathishkraju/the-ai-agentic-workflow-patterns-that-actually-matter-in-2026-08955ac6f398>
14. How multi-stage prompts enhance complex workflows - Glean, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.glean.com/perspectives/how-multi-stage-prompts-enhance-complex-workflows>
15. Myths vs. Reality: Data Centers And Water Usage - Florida Water and Pollution Control Operators Association, accessed May 8, 2026,
https://www.fwpc.org/content.aspx?page_id=5&club_id=859275&item_id=130961
16. Cooling data centers: Managing water use in the age of AI and ESG - GRESB, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.gresb.com/cooling-data-centers-managing-water-use-in-the-age-of-ai-and-esg/>
17. NVIDIA HGX B200 Reduces Embodied Carbon Emissions Intensity | NVIDIA Technical Blog, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://developer.nvidia.com/blog/nvidia-hgx-b200-reduces-embodied-carbon-emissions-intensity/>
18. NVIDIA Blackwell B200 Datasheet (PDF) - primeline Solutions, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.primeline-solutions.com/media/categories/server/nach-gpu/nvidia-hgx-h200/nvidia-blackwell-b200-datasheet.pdf>
19. The Hydrological Cost of Intelligence: A Comprehensive Analysis of the Water Footprint of Generative AI (2024–2025) : r/aiwars - Reddit, accessed May 8, 2026,
https://www.reddit.com/r/aiwars/comments/1p5o2cj/the_hydrological_cost_of_int

[elligence_a/](#)

20. AI, data centers, and water - Brookings Institution, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/ai-data-centers-and-water/>
21. Product Carbon Footprint (PCF) Summary for NVIDIA HGX B200, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://images.nvidia.com/aem-dam/Solutions/documents/HGX-B200-PCF-Summary.pdf>
22. What proportion of precious metals are actually us | GateUser-55164a2f on Gate Square, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.gate.com/post/status/17127481>
23. Abiotic depletion potential (ADP) - SDIA Knowledge Hub, accessed May 8, 2026, [https://knowledge.sdialliance.org/abiotic-depletion-potential-\(adp\)-111efc5c58538044b1fac1c3e431d1ed](https://knowledge.sdialliance.org/abiotic-depletion-potential-(adp)-111efc5c58538044b1fac1c3e431d1ed)
24. Travalyt Scales Sustainable Travel Efforts with Emissions Data for ..., accessed May 8, 2026, <https://esgnews.com/travalyst-scales-sustainable-travel-efforts-with-emissions-data-for-65-billion-flight-searches/>
25. American Airlines cut contrails by 62% with Google AI - Aerospace Global News, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://aerospacglobalnews.com/news/american-airlines-google-contrails-ai/>
26. Vegan diet reduces carbon footprint by 46% in new study - Reddit, accessed May 8, 2026, https://www.reddit.com/r/UpliftingNews/comments/1q3v9sp/vegan_diet_reduces_carbon_footprint_by_46_in_new/
27. Land use per kilogram of food product - Our World in Data, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://ourworldindata.org/grapher/land-use-per-kg-poor>
28. Data Centers and Their Implications for Rural Communities - Oklahoma Farm Report, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.oklahomafarmreport.com/2026/04/03/data-centers-and-their-implications-for-rural-communities/>
29. Contrarian view: Data centers vs. Meat - Hacker News, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://news.ycombinator.com/item?id=47313539>
30. Opinion: The data dilemma - Ohio's Country Journal, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://ocj.com/2026/02/opinion-the-data-dilemma/>
31. The Most Impactful Things You Can Do for the Climate Aren't What You've Been Told, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.wri.org/insights/climate-impact-behavior-shifts>
32. Resolve to Save at Home and Beyond - The National Environmental Education Foundation, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.neefusa.org/story/sustainability/resolve-save-home-and-beyond>
33. What's Next in Recycling? Sustainability Predictions for 2026 - Bay ..., accessed May 8, 2026, <https://mybarc.org/sustainability-predictions-for-2026/>
34. Climate mitigation contributions from circular economy actions - European Environment Information and Observation Network, accessed May 8, 2026, <https://www.eionet.europa.eu/etcs/etc-ce/products/etc-ce-report-2026-1-climate-mitigation-contributions-from-circular-economy-actions/@@download/file/ET>

[C%20Report-CE%20&%20climate%20mitigation_final.pdf](#)

35. The carbon and water footprints of data centers and what this could mean for artificial intelligence - PMC, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12827721/>
36. The State of Energy in Quebec 2026 Edition presents a mixed picture | HEC Montréal, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.hec.ca/en/news/2026/the-state-of-energy-quebec-2026-edition-presents-mixed-picture>
37. Greenhouse gas emissions projections - Canada.ca, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.canada.ca/en/environment-climate-change/services/environmental-indicators/greenhouse-gas-emissions-projections.html>
38. AI scale and climate commitments: A 2026 outlook | Carbon Direct, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.carbon-direct.com/insights/ai-scale-and-climate-commitments-a-2026-outlook>
39. AI, Data Centers, and the U.S. Electric Grid: A Watershed Moment, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.belfercenter.org/research-analysis/ai-data-centers-us-electric-grid>
40. Towards a New Era of Sustainable Agriculture: AI Applications and Case Studies in Crop Management, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.ijcaonline.org/archives/volume187/number18/marella-2025-ijca-925294.pdf>
41. AI in Precision Agriculture: How Farmers Are Using AI to Increase Yields 20% and Cut Water Use by 35% - AI Magicx, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.aimagicx.com/blog/ai-precision-agriculture-farming-yields-2026>
42. Chicken, beef or vegetables: assessing the real environmental impact of AI, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.top1000funds.com/2025/09/chicken-beef-or-vegetables-assessing-the-real-environmental-impact-of-ai/>
43. Travel emissions calculator | Deloitte UK, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://www.deloitte.com/uk/en/issues/climate/content/travel-emissions-calculator.html>
44. Flight emissions data shown in over 65 billion searches worldwide - Travalyst, accessed May 8, 2026,
<https://travalyst.org/news/flight-emissions-data-shown-in-over-65-billion-searches-worldwide/>